

REVIEW OF RESEARCH

IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)

ISSN: 2249-894X

VOLUME - 1 | ISSUE - 3 | MARCH - 2019

VISIBLE QUANTUM CUTTING & DOWN-CONVERSATION IN CAF₂: GD³⁺, EU³⁺ PHOSPHOR

S. R. Jaiswal¹, P.A. Nagpure², V. B. Bhatkar2, S. K. Omanwar³ ¹Department of Physics, Shri R. L. T. College of Science, Akola. 444606(INDIA) ²Department of Physics, Shri Shivaji Science College, Amravati. 444601 (INDIA) ³Department of Physics, SantGadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati. 444602 (INDIA) (Corresponding author: srjaiswal07@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT :

The conversion of vacuum ultraviolet (UV) radiation to visible (VIS) light is described which makes it possible to obtain two VIS photons for each vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photon absorbed Often it is termed as Quantum Cutting (QC). The phenomenon also called as down conversion (DC), is demonstrated by CaF₂: Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} . We prepared CaF₂: Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} phosphor synthesis via reactive atmosphere process. Powder Xray diffraction analysis shows structural purity of as-synthesized phosphor. The emission and excitation spectra of CaF₂: Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} were investigated using the VUV beam line of the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF), China. The energy transfer (ET) in calcium fluoride compound from the Gd^{3+} ions to Eu^{3+} through cross relaxation occurs. On the basis of the calculations from the emission spectra in the visible region obtained, we have obtained optimal quantum efficiency as high as 117% for red-emitting CaF₂: Gb^{3+} , Eu^{3+} phosphor under excitation of 203 nm in reactive atmosphere process (RAP).

KEYWORDS: Reactive Atmosphere Process (RAP), Quantum cutting, VUV spectroscopy, Energy transfer, CaF₂.

1. INTRODUCTION

For the development of mercury free florescent lamps and plasma display panels (PDPs), we require phosphor having quantum efficiency is greater than unity under VUV excitation. The phosphors having quantum efficiency is greater than unity are called quantum cutting phosphors. Quantum cutting provides a means to obtain two or more low energy photons for each high energy absorbed photon. Therefore it serves as a down converting (DC) mechanism with quantum efficiency greater than unity and it offers the prospect of providing enhanced energy effectiveness in lighting devices [1]. In order to obtain quantum-cutting phosphors with quantum efficiencies exceeding unity, the lanthanide ions are obvious candidates for this purpose due to their energy level structures that afford metastable levels from which quantum-splitting processes are capable. The inorganic calcium fluoride is one of the most important host with certain weird characteristics like wide band gap greater than 11 eV. Calcium fluoride with rare earth doped phosphor has conventional attention for numerous research works [3]. B. Herden*et al.* reported photon cascade emission in Pr³⁺doped fluorides with CaF₂ structure [4]. W. Binder et al. reported CaF₂:Sm³⁺ Phosphor was used for the application of solid state laser materials [5]. A. Lucas discussed CaF₂: Dy and CaF₂: Tm phosphors are used for the application of dosimetry [6]. In our experiments we use gadolinium and europium lanthanides as a dopant in the host of CaF_2 for the application of quantum cutting. The process energy transfer and quantum cutting in CaF_2 : Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} can occur by the dopant combination of Gd^{3+} and Eu^{3+} , in which Gd^{3+} (acts as a sensitizer) and absorbing high energy VUV photon is cut into two visible photons emitted by two Eu^{3+} ions (acts as an activator).

Review of Research

2. EXPERIMENTAL

 CaF_2 : Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} phosphor was synthesis via reactive atmospheric process. In this method we used metal carbonate like $CaCO_3$ (99.99% A.R.) as a precursor. The inorganic calcium carbonate was taken in Teflon beaker. A little amount of double distilled water was added in beaker andstired it, then hydrofluoric acid (HF) added in it to get slurry. The slurry was dried by blowing air or heating on hot plate (80°C). A freshly prepared CaF_2 host was obtained. Gd_2O_3 (AR 99.9%) and Eu_2O_3 (AR 99.9%) were boiled in HNO₃ and evaporated to dryness, so as to convert them into relevant nitrates. The aqueous solution of these nitrates where use as a dopants. The 1 mol% of gadolinium nitrate and 1mol% of europium nitrate where assorted in the host material and dehydrated completely.

The dried powder was transferred to a glass tube and about 1.0 wt. % RAP agent was added. In this process we used ammonium fluoride as a RAP agent. The tube was closed with a tight stopper and slowly heated to 500°C for 2 h. The stopper was removed and the powders were transferred to a graphite crucible pre-heated to a suitable temperature. After heating in the graphite crucible for 1 h the resulting phosphor was rapidly quenched to room temperature. Belsare*et al.* well discussed about RAP in their literature [7]. The complete process involved in the reaction was represented as a flow chart in Fig. 1.



Fig.1. Flow chart of CaF₂: Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺ prepared via RAP.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 XRD Analysis

The formation of the CaF₂: Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺sample in the crystalline phase synthesized by RAP was confirmed by XRD pattern as shown in Fig.2. The XRD pattern for CaF₂:Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺ agreed well with the standard data from ICDD file (00-004-0864). Also the XRD pattern show that CaF₂ lattice possesses cubic structure with a space group *Fm-3m* (225) with lattice parameters $a = b = c = 5.2646 A^{\circ}$ and interfacial angles $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$. XRD pattern of CaF₂:Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺ phosphor as shown in fig.2.



3.2 VUV-UV PL Analysis

The emission spectra under excitation 274 and 203 nm are shown in Fig 4. The emission lines of Eu³⁺ peaked at about 593, 613, 650 and 700nm correspond to ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ (J=1, 2, 3, 4) transitions respectively and the ${}^{5}D_{J}$ (J=0, 1, 2, 3) $\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ transition peaks of Eu³⁺ are much weaker than those of ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ transition. There are broad excitations lines spectra peaking optimum at about 203, 227 and 274 nm responsible for ${}^{8}S_{7/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}G_{J}$, ${}^{6}D_{J}$, ${}^{6}I_{J}$ respectively[8] as shown in Fig 3.



Fig.4.emission spectra of CaF₂: Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺ at excitation wavelength 203 and 274 nm.

Review of Research

The process transfer of energy and quantum splitting can happen by the combination of Gd^{3+} and Eu^{3+} in which Gd^{3+} and absorbing a VUV photon corresponding to ${}^{8}S_{7/2} \rightarrow {}^{6}G_{J}$. The incident high energy photon is cut into two visible photons emitted by Eu^{3+} ions. The energy of transitions ${}^{6}G_{J} \rightarrow {}^{6}P_{J}$ on Gd^{3+} matches the ${}^{7}F_{J} \rightarrow {}^{5}D_{0}$ excitation energy on Eu^{3+} . Upon excitation in the ${}^{6}G_{J}$ levels of Gd^{3+} as the first step, energy is transferred by cross-relaxation between Gd^{3+} and Eu^{3+} which make Gd^{3+} fall into the ${}^{6}P_{J}$ state and Eu^{3+} is excited into the ${}^{5}D_{0}$ state. The excited Eu^{3+} is responsible for the first visible photon. The first step is called as booming energy migration. In the second step the remaining excitation energy of Gd^{3+} in the ${}^{6}P_{J}$ state is transferred to another nearing Eu^{3+} ion, i.e. Eu^{3+} ion exciting into a high state. Then a fast relaxation from a high excited state to ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ transitions are expected. However after the second step, all levels of ${}^{5}D_{3,2,1,0}$ of Eu^{3+} are probably engaged, so the emission wavelength consists of all of the ${}^{5}D_{J}$ (J=0,1,2,3) $\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ transitions [9].



Fig.5.Energy level diagrams of Eu³⁺ and Gd³⁺ showing the cross-relaxation energy transfer process that leads to quantum splitting [9, 10]

Consecutively to calculate quantum efficiency, some assumption must be projected. The incident vacuum ultraviolet photon absorption efficiency cannot be taken into consideration. Some nonradiative losses at defects and impurities are disregarded. In the CaF₂:Gd³⁺, Eu³⁺sample, different excitations are adopted including the excitation of Gd³⁺→⁶G_J with 203 nm and the excitation of Gd³⁺→⁶I_J with 273 nm. Upon excitation in ⁶I_J level with 273 nm, the quantum cutting never occurs because no cross-relaxation exists, so the ⁵D_J→⁷F_J transitions emission of Eu³⁺ has a normal branching ratio between ⁵D₀ and ⁵D_{1, 2, 3}. Upon 203 nm excitation in ⁶G_J level with, the quantum cutting can occur via two-step energy transfer. In the second step, the emission of Eu³⁺ has a normal branching ratio. The first step will pilot to the increase of ⁵D₀ emission. So the ratio of ⁵D₀ and ⁵D_{1, 2, 3} emissions is expected to increase. To determine the efficiency of the cross relaxation, the formula proposed by Wegh [11-17] was adopted as follows:

$$\frac{P_{CR}}{P_{CR} \Box P_{DT}} \Box \frac{R({}^{5}D_{0} / {}^{5}D_{1,2,3})_{{}^{6}G_{J}} \Box R({}^{5}D_{0} / {}^{5}D_{1,2,3})_{{}^{6}I_{J}}}{R({}^{5}D_{0} / {}^{5}D_{1,2,3})_{{}^{6}I_{J}} \Box 1}$$

Where P_{CR} is the probability for cross-relaxation, P_{DT} is the probability for the direct transfer from Gd^{3+} to Eu^{3+} . R (${}^{5}D_{0}/{}^{5}D_{1,2,3}$) is the ratio of the ${}^{5}D_{0}$ and ${}^{5}D_{1,2,3}$ emission integral intensities. The subscript (${}^{6}G_{J}$ or ${}^{6}I_{J}$) represents the excitation level for which the ratio is observed. From the emission spectra, the value of R (${}^{5}D_{0}/{}^{5}D_{1,2,3}$) ${}^{6}G_{J}$ and (${}^{5}D_{0}/{}^{5}D_{1,2,3}$) ${}^{6}I_{J}$ can be calculated 33.21 and 27.15, respectively. Therefore, the value of $P_{CR}/P_{CR} + P_{DT}$ is 0.17. It means that there are 17% Gd³⁺ ions in the ${}^{6}G_{J}$ excited state settle down through a two-step energy transfer emitting two visible photons in this method. So the quantum cutting efficiency of 117% can be obtained. Quantum cutting in the Gd to Eu understanding requires energy transfer over the Gdsublattice to Eu [10].

Review of Research

4. CONCLUSIONS

The inorganic material CaF₂: Gd^{3+} , Eu^{3+} was successfully prepared through reactive atmosphere process. The XRD pattern confirmed its cubic structure. The visible quantum cutting and energy transfer through down-conversion was observed in CaF₂:1% Gd³⁺, 1% Eu³⁺ and the quantum efficiency was found to be around 117% under the excitation of 203 nm equivalent ${}^8S_{7/2}$?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to 4B8 VUV spectroscopy beam line scientists of Being Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF), China for given that access in recording VUV on beamline 4B8 under dedicated synchrotron mode using remote access mode. One of the authors S.R. Jaiswal thankful to Head, Department of Physics SantGadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati for providing necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

[1]C.R. Ronda, J. Alloys Compd. 225 (1993) 534.

[2] M. Y. William, Phosphor Handbook, CRC press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, ISBN: 0-8493-3564-7.

[3]S. Pote, C. Joshi, S. Moharil, P. Muthal, S. Dhopte. ISSN 1061-3862, International Journal of Self-Propogating High-Temperature Synthesis. 22 (2013) 37-40

[4] B. Herden, A. García-Fuente, H. Ramanantoanina, T. Jüstel, C. Daul, W. Urland, Chemical physics letter. 620 (2015) 29-34.

[5] W. Binder, S. Dislerhoff, J. Cameron, Dosimetric Properties of CaF2: Dy, (a) Proc. II Int. Conf. on Lumin. Dosim., Gatlinberg, 1968, pp. 45–53; (b) Health Phys., 1969, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 613–618.

[6] (a) A.C. Lucas, R.H. Moss, B.M. Casper, Thermoluminescent CaF2:Tm and Method for Its Use, US Patent 4 039 834, 1977; (b) Lucas, A.C. and Casper, B.M., Thermoluminescence of Thulium Doped Calcium Fluoride, Proc. Int. Conf. on Lumin. Dosim., Sao Paulo (Brazil), 1977, pp. 131-139

[7]P. Belsare, C. Joshi, S. Moharil, V. Kondawar, P. Muthal, S. Dhopte, J. Alloys Compd. 450 (2008) 468-472.

[8] R.T. Wegh, E.V.D. van Loef, A. Meijerink, J. Lumin., 90 (2000) 111.

[9]B. Liua, Y. Chena, C. Shia, H. Tanga, Y. Tao, Journal of Luminescence 101 (2003) 155–159.

[10]R.T. Wegh, H. Donker, K. Oskam, and A. Meijerink, J. Lumin., 82 (1999) 93.

[11]R.T. Wegh, H. Donker, K. Oskam, A. Meijerink, Science 663 (1999) 283.

[12]N. Kodama, Y. Watanabe, Appl. Phys. Lett. 4141 (2004) 84.

[13]N. Kodama, S. Oishi, J. Appl. Phys. 103515 (2005) 98.

[14]R. Hua1, J.H. Niu, B.J. Chen, M.T.Z. Li, T. Yu, W.L. Li, Nanotechnology 1642 (2006) 17.

[15]Y. Zhou, S.P. Feofilov, J.Y. Jeong, D.A. Keszler, R.S. Meltzer, Phys. Rev. B 075129 (2008) 77.

[16]M. Karbowiak, A. Mech, W. Ryba-Romanowski, J. Lumin. 65 (2005) 114.

[17] B. Liu, Y.H. Chen, C.S. Shi, H.G. Tang, Y. Tao, J. Lumin. 101 (2003) 155.